

RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited

Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms

Outline Drainage Strategy Volume 8

June 2024

Application Reference: 8.12

APFP Regulation: 5(2)(q)

Revision: 01





Company	RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited	Asset:	Development
Project:	Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms	Sub Project/Package:	Consents
Document Title or Description:	Outline Drainage Strategy		
Document Number:	004993634-01	Contractor Reference Number:	N/A

COPYRIGHT © RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited, 2024. All rights reserved.

This document is supplied on and subject to the terms and conditions of the Contractual Agreement relating to this work, under which this document has been supplied, in particular:

LIABILITY

In preparation of this document RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited has made reasonable efforts to ensure that the content is accurate, up to date and complete for the purpose for which it was contracted. RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited makes no warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of material supplied by the client or their agent.

Other than any liability on RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited detailed in the contracts between the parties for this work RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited shall have no liability for any loss, damage, injury, claim, expense, cost or other consequence arising as a result of use or reliance upon any information contained in or omitted from this document.

Any persons intending to use this document should satisfy themselves as to its applicability for their intended purpose.

The user of this document has the obligation to employ safe working practices for any activities referred to and to adopt specific practices appropriate to local conditions.

Rev No.	Date	Status/Reason for Issue	Author	Checked by	Approved by
01	June 2024	Final for DCO Application	RWE	RWE	RWE



Contents

Outline	Drainage Strategy	6
1.1. Int	oduction	6
1.2. Pre	e and Post-Construction Land Drainage	7
1.3. Su	face Water Management Plan	8
1.4. Fo	ul Water Drainage	9
1.5. On	shore Converter Station Outline Operational Drainage Strategy	9
1.5.1.	Site Description and Location	9
1.5.2.	Existing Drainage Regime	12
1.5.3.	Onshore Converter Station(s) Development Proposals	13
1.5.4.	Onshore Converter Station(s) Flood Risk Assessment	14
1.5.4	.1. Flood Risk to the Development	14
1.5.4	.2. Flood Risk - as a result of the Projects	15
1.5.4	.3. Onshore Converter Station(s) Flood Risk Management	15
1.5.4	.4. Onshore Converter Station Surface Water Management	15
1.5.4	.5. Floodplain Storage	16
1.5.4	.6. Residual Risk	16
1.5.5.	Onshore Converter Station(s) SuDS Requirements	16
1.5.5	.1. SuDS Treatment Train	17
1.5.5	.2. SuDS Discharge Hierarchy	17
1.5.5	.3. SuDS Water Quality Criteria	18
1.5.6.	Surface Water Drainage Outline Strategy	18
1.5.7.	SuDS (Detention) Basin Indicative Design	19
1.5.8.	Foul Water Drainage Outline Strategy	21
1.5.9.	Onshore Converter Station(s) Drainage Future Maintenance	21
16 Co	nclusion	22

Plates		
Plate 1-1 Sit	te Location Plan	11
Plate 1-2 Ex	isting Watercourses	13
Tables		
Table 1-1 Si	te Location Summary	9
Appendice	s	
Appendix A	Scheme Drawings	
Appendix B	Preliminary Drainage Calculations	



Glossary

Term	Definition
Construction	Includes all onshore physical works undertaken to implement the Dogger Bank South (DBS) Offshore Wind Farms, including demolition, waste disposal, but excluding site preparation works, as defined in the draft Development Consent Order (DCO).
Dogger Bank South (DBS) Offshore Wind Farms	The collective name for the two Projects, DBS East and DBS West.
Landfall	The point on the coastline at which the Offshore Export Cables are brought onshore, connecting to the onshore cables at the Transition Joint Bay (TJB) above mean high water.
Management measures	Comprise legislative requirements, current standards and best practice, in addition to primary, tertiary and secondary commitments identified as part of the DBS Offshore Wind Farms Environmental Statement (ES) process. They include strategies, control measures and monitoring procedures for managing the potential impacts of constructing DBS Offshore Wind Farms and limiting disturbance from construction activities as far as reasonably practicable.
Onshore Converter Stations	A compound containing electrical equipment required to transform HVDC and stabilise electricity generated by the Projects so that it can be connected to the electricity transmission network as HVAC. There will be one Onshore Converter Station for each Project.
Onshore Development Area	The Onshore Development Area for ES is the boundary within which all onshore infrastructure required for the Projects would be located including Landfall Zone, Onshore Export Cable Corridor, accesses, Temporary Construction Compounds and Onshore Converter Stations.



Term	Definition
Onshore Export Cable Corridor	This is the area which includes cable trenches, haul roads, spoil storage areas, and limits of deviation for micro-siting. For assessment purposes, the cable corridor does not include the Onshore Converter Stations, Transition Joint Bays or temporary access routes; but includes Temporary Construction Compounds (purely for the cable route).
Onshore Export Cables	Onshore Export Cables take the electric from the Transition Joint Bay to the Onshore Converter Stations.
Onshore Substation Zone	Parcel of land within the Onshore Development Area where the Onshore Converter Station infrastructure (including the haul roads, Temporary Construction Compounds and associated cable routeing) would be located.
Ordinary watercourses	Rivers which are not Main Rivers are called 'ordinary watercourses'. Lead local flood authorities, district councils and internal drainage boards carry out flood risk management work on ordinary watercourses.
Principal Contractor	A contractor appointed under Regulation 5(1) (b) of the Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 2015. They have control over the construction phase of a project with several contractors.
Surface water flooding	Surface water flooding occurs when rainwater does not drain away through normal drainage systems or soak into the ground but lies on or flows over the ground instead.
Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)	A natural approach to managing drainage in and around properties and other developments. SuDS work by slowing and holding back the water that runs off from a site.
The Projects	DBS East and DBS West (collectively referred to as the Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms).
Transition Joint Bay (TJB)	The Transition Joint Bay (TJB) is an underground structure at the landfall that houses the joints between the Offshore Export Cables and the Onshore Export Cables.

Unrestricted 004993634



Acronyms

Term	Definition
CIRIA	Construction Industry Research and Information Association
DCO	Development Consent Order
ERYC	East Riding of Yorkshire Council
ha	Hectare
IDB	Internal Drainage Boards
LIDAR	Light detection and ranging
LLFA	Lead Local Flood Authority
ОСоСР	Outline Code of Construction Practice
OWC	Ordinary Watercourse Consent
RHDHV	Royal HaskoningDHV
SuDS	Sustainable Drainage Systems
SWMP	Surface Water Management Plan
UK	United Kingdom
WA	Wardell Armstrong



1 Outline Drainage Strategy

1.1 Introduction

- RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited are proposing construction of two Offshore Wind Farm projects off the East Riding of Yorkshire (EYRC) coast of the United Kingdom (UK) (herein referred to as the Projects).
- 2. This report gives details of the outline drainage strategy for the Onshore Converter Station(s) and the pre and post construction land drainage, located within the Onshore Development Area. This strategy will form the basis of the detailed drainage plans which would be submitted to the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) at the ERYC for approval prior to the commencement of construction of the Projects, in consultation with the Environment Agency, Internal Drainage Boards (IDB) and the relevant sewerage and drainage authorities.
- 3. Outline pre and post construction land drainage proposals are set out in section 1.2 of this report.
- 4. The outline operational drainage strategy for the Onshore Converter Station(s) was prepared by Wardell Armstrong (WA) and is included in section 1.5 of this report and shown on the Drawings, located in Appendix A. It incorporates the principles of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) to manage surface water run-off from hardstanding areas at the and demonstrates that existing surface water flows can be managed appropriately on site. Where appropriate, these assessments have been carried out in accordance with relevant national and EYRC's guidance, as referenced in section 1.5 of this report.
- 5. The outline drainage strategy and calculations in this report are preliminary and indicative only. They may be subject to change post Development Consent Order (DCO) consent, as more information becomes available, and the detailed design is developed. These assessments are based on readily available data and our assessment of site topography, historical drainage patterns, available flood information and available service records.

Unrestricted 004993634



1.2 Pre and Post-Construction Land Drainage

- 6. The Projects have commissioned a detailed drainage survey to establish the existing land drainage baseline environment. To fully understand the drainage a suitably qualified land drainage expert with experience of working in the local area has been enlisted to carry out the baseline surveys and to consult with landowners. They would also ensure local, site-specific, and landowner knowledge is effectively captured prior to construction commencing.
- 7. A detailed pre and post construction land drainage scheme would be developed prior to construction, based on the detailed drainage survey. The drainage scheme would be developed in consultation with landowners, the LLFA at ERYC, the Environment Agency and relevant IDB(s).
- 8. Where the Projects intercepts land drainage, pre-construction drainage would be installed at the edge(s) of the Onshore Export Cable Corridor. This permanent drainage would intercept existing field drains and ensure the integrity of the existing land drainage is maintained during construction and operation of the Projects. All drains and outfalls would be risk assessed and appropriate control measures used prior to discharge into any watercourses at a controlled rate. Temporary attenuation / storage would be provided, where necessary.
- 9. At the Onshore Converter Station(s), located within the Onshore Substation Zone a construction drainage system would also be implemented at the beginning of the construction phase. This would cover the drainage requirements for both the temporary and permanent working areas and ensure any land drainage has suitable pollution prevention measures implemented, including filter trenches and fuel interceptors.
- 10. Where necessary post construction (restoration) drains may also be installed in consultation with landowners, the Environment Agency, LLFA (ERYC) and IDB, as appropriate to ensure that existing land drainage is reinstated and maintained to at least pre-development land drainage capacity throughout the operation of the Projects. As described above, this would be informed by the detailed drainage survey and utilise existing outfalls, wherever possible.

Unrestricted 004993634



1.3 Surface Water Management Plan

- 11. In addition to the pre and post construction land drainage scheme, described in section 1.2 a Surface Water Management Plan, setting out the requirements for temporary surface water drainage during construction would also be prepared by the Contractor, should any temporary dewatering be required. This would be approved under DCO Requirement 19 upon appointment of a Principal Contractor(s).
- 12. The Principal Contractor(s) would also be required to prepare an Emergency Response, Evacuation and Pollution Control Plan. This would include flood risk and evacuation procedures for those areas of the Onshore Development Area, located within Flood Risk Zone 2 and 3, as detailed in Volume 7, Appendix 20-4 Flood Risk Assessment (application ref: 7.20.20.4).
- 13. The Surface Water Management Plan and Emergency Response, Evacuation and Pollution Control Plan would also be agreed with the relevant authority as stated in the **Outline Code of Construction Practice** (OCoCP) (Volume 8, application ref: 8.9). Temporary surface water drainage would be used, where necessary in combination with the preconstruction drains to ensure there is no increase in flood risk.
- 14. The **Obstacle Crossing Register Volume 7, Appendix 5-2 (application ref: 7.5.5.2)**, identifies where the Projects cross watercourses and minor ditches, existing field drainage may be severed. At these locations, flow would be maintained to ensure there is no increase in flood risk. The temporary crossing methodology would be agreed with the relevant authority Environment Agency, LLFA and / or IDB, and in consultation with landowners, where necessary. Water Crossings are also described in **Volume 7, Chapter 5 Project Description (application ref: 7.5)**.





1.4 Foul Water Drainage

15. Requirement 17 of the **Draft DCO (Volume 3, application ref: 3.1)** requires details of any foul water drainage system required during construction or operation to be submitted to and approved by the lead local flood authority, through consultation with the Environment Agency and relevant sewerage and drainage authorities. The exact details of any construction and operational welfare areas associated with the Onshore Converter Station(s) are still to be determined. However, given the nature of the development, foul flows are likely to be minimal It is anticipated that any foul water flows from the site will drain to a septic tank and be tankered away or drain to a package treatment plant prior to discharge to a nearby watercourse.

1.5 Onshore Converter Station Outline Operational Drainage Strategy

1.5.1 Site Description and Location

16. **Table 1-1** (below) provides a summary of the site and its characteristics.

Table 1-1 Site Location Summary

Site Location Summary	
Site Address	Substation Zone, Beverley Bypass, Bentley, Walkington, East, HU17 8PG
Site Area (hectare [ha])	Approximately 68 ha
National Grid Reference	501915E, 436707N
Existing Land Use	Greenfield / Agriculture
Proposed Land Use	Onshore Converter Station and associated landscaping
Local Planning Authority	East Riding of Yorkshire Council
Sewer Undertaker	Yorkshire Water
Environment Authority/Agency	Environment Agency

- 17. The Onshore Substation Zone, within which up to two Onshore Converter Station(s) will be constructed is located on agricultural land approximately 0.75km south west of the town of Beverley in EYRC. The site lies to the south of the A1079 Beverley Bypass, to the west of the A164 road, to the east of Coppleflat Lane and to the north of the hamlet of Beverley, as shown on **Plate 1-1**.
- 18. Butt Farm Caravan and Camping Site lies to the north of the site boundary, with Bentley Moor Wood to the east and agricultural land to the south and west.
- 19. An area of ancient woodland is located within the site boundary, just to the east of the proposed location for the Onshore Converter Station(s).
- 20. Permanent access to the site is proposed from the A1079 Beverley Bypass to the north as shown on drawing ED13554-GE-1122 Indicative Substation General Arrangement, located in **Appendix A**.

Plate 1-1 Site Location Plan



22/11/2023, 12:08:24

UK EN Ancient Woodland EXT

Indicative Road Construction Area (Rev D)

Ancient & Semi-Natural Woodland

Indicative Permanent Drainage Connections (Rev F)

Indicative Permanent Drainage Basins (Rev F)

Indicative Substation TCC (Rev H)

Indicative Substation Footprints (Rev H)

Indicative Substation Permanent Access Roads (Rev E)




1.5.2 Existing Drainage Regime

- 21. The site is undeveloped agricultural land with an unnamed watercourse / ditch (Watercourse 1 see **Plate 1-2** below) that passes through the middle of the site, discharging to the east, and passing below the A164 road.
- 22. Another unnamed watercourse / ditch (Watercourse 2 see **Plate 1-2** below) crosses the site just north of the proposed Onshore Converter Station location, discharging to the north east and passing below the A1079 Beverley Bypass.
- 23. To the north of the site, perpendicular to the route of the proposed permanent access, the "Autherd Drain" (Watercourse 3 see **Plate 1-2** below) crosses the site boundary, discharging to the east and passing below the A1079.
- 24. All watercourses referenced are classified as ordinary watercourses and not Main Rivers.
- 25. Light detection and ranging (LIDAR) data obtained for the area shows the topography of the site tending towards these watercourses / ditches, which indicates these are the natural drainage paths for the site.
- 26. **Plate 1-2** shows the indicative pre-development drainage and overland flow paths, a more detailed drawing can be viewed in **Appendix A** of this report.



Plate 1-2 Existing Watercourses



1.5.3 Onshore Converter Station(s) Development Proposals

- 27. It is proposed to construct an engineered platform (indicative substation footprint on **Plate 1-1**) of up to 488m x 264m to support the Onshore Converter Station(s) for the Projects. The platform is to be located centrally within the Onshore Substation Zone as described in section 1.5.1 of this report.
- 28. A permanent access road running north to south from the A1079 Beverley Bypass is proposed to service the Onshore Converter Station(s) for the operational life of the Projects.



29. Drawing ED13554-GE-1122 showing the indicative Converter Station general arrangement can be viewed in **Appendix A** of this report.

1.5.4 Onshore Converter Station(s) Flood Risk Assessment

- 30. To inform the development of the surface water drainage design for the Projects the flood risk both to and from the Onshore Converter Station(s) is outlined below, specifically in relation to any potential surface water / overland flow flood risk.
- 31. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment (Volume 7, Appendix 20-4 Flood Risk Assessment (application ref: 7.20.20.4)) has been undertaken by Royal HaskoningDHV (RHDHV) to accompany the DCO application, which provides further information on all sources of flood risk.

1.5.4.1 Flood Risk to the Development

- 32. In accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities, 2023) and the accompanying Planning Practice Guidance for Flood Risk and Coastal Change (Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities, 2022), the main potential sources of flooding requiring consideration are from rivers, tidal waters, high land/overland runoff, high water tables, sewers, and drains, and from other artificial sources such as canals or reservoirs.
- 33. The nearest potential sources of fluvial (river) flooding are Watercourses 1 3 as described in section 1.5.2 of this report. Pluvial (surface water) flooding could occur where overland flows tend to drain towards the proposed Onshore Converter Station platform and access road. All watercourses referenced are classified as ordinary watercourses and not Main Rivers and the site is located in Flood Zone 1 which confirms it is on land with a low probability of flooding from rivers and the sea.
- 34. Watercourse 1 formally starts from a location which would be below the proposed Onshore Converter Station platforms and discharges east. Nearby topography tends towards this watercourse however, it is proposed to mitigate any flood risk from this watercourse (and any overland flows) by installing cut-off drains at the platform perimeter, diverting overland flows around the Onshore Converter Station(s) and back into the watercourse further east. Cut off drains will be designed to mimic existing overland flows. The exact design and depth of these cut off drains will be determined at detailed design stage to ensure the Onshore Converter Station platforms are adequately protected from overland flows or springs. Any re-direction of existing ordinary watercourses will be subject to Ordinary Watercourse Consent (OWC).

Unrestricted 004993634

- 35. Watercourse 2 crosses the site just north of the proposed Onshore Converter Station platforms, discharging west to east. The watercourse is described as a ditch / drain, is outside the footprint of the platform and a review of the Environment Agency flood maps indicates there is minimal surface water flooding associated with this watercourse. The watercourse passes below the route of the permanent access road and a suitably sized culvert will be provided to maintain existing flows. The exact design and size of any culverts will be determined at detailed design stage and agreed with the relevant authority.
- The "Autherd Drain" (Watercourse 3) crosses the route of the permanent access road at a location to the north of the site. The watercourse is at a location and level (approximately 5m below proposed platform levels) that poses no risk to the site. Where the watercourse passes below the route of the permanent access road, a suitably sized culvert will be provided to maintain existing flows. The exact design and size of any culverts will be determined at detailed design stage.

1.5.4.2 Flood Risk - as a result of the Projects

37. The development will incorporate a SuDS. As such, surface water run-off from any hardstanding areas associated with the development will be collected, treated, attenuated, and discharged to the nearby watercourses at the greenfield run-off rate. Therefore, the development will not increase any flood risk downstream.

1.5.4.3 Onshore Converter Station(s) Flood Risk Management

- 38. As noted in the previous section, there is the potential for surface water runoff - due to an increase in hardstanding - to result in an increase in flood risk. As such, the management of flood risk to the development is outlined below.
- 39. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment (Volume 7, Appendix 20-4 Flood Risk Assessment (application ref: 7.20.20.4)) has been undertaken, this includes further details on flood risk and associated management measures.

1.5.4.4 Onshore Converter Station Surface Water Management

- 40. Surface water run-off from surrounding areas where topography tends towards the Onshore Converter Station platform, will be diverted as summarised in section 1.5.4.1 of this report.
- 41. Surface water run-off from surrounding areas, tending towards the permanent access road will be diverted as summarised in section 1.5.4.1 of this report.

Unrestricted 004993634



42. Surface water run-off from any hardstanding areas associated with the development will be managed as summarised in section 1.5.4.2 and discussed in further detail in section 1.5.6 of this report.

1.5.4.5 Floodplain Storage

43. The existing and proposed access roads are located outside any predicted fluvial floodplain areas and will be positively drained. Therefore, requirements for safe access and egress are met, and no further mitigation measures are required.

1.5.4.6 Residual Risk

- 44. For storm events greater than a 1:100-year event (plus climate change), an additional sensitivity check for a subsequent 1:10-year storm event (plus climate change within 24 hours) has been undertaken for the SuDS design of the proposed Onshore Converter Station platform.
- 45. For storm events up to and including a 1:1,000-year event (plus climate change), a sensitivity check has been undertaken for the SuDS design for the proposed Onshore Converter Station platform(s).
- 46. See section 1.5.7 for more details.

1.5.5 Onshore Converter Station(s) SuDS Requirements

- 47. National Planning Policy Framework (Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities, 2023) and the accompanying Planning Practice Guidance for Flood Risk and Costal Change (Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities, 2022) enquires that all major developments incorporate SuDS unless there is clear evidence that this would be inappropriate. Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1) (Department for Energy Security & Net Zero) also sets out that the Secretary of State, in their decision making, should be satisfied that SuDS are incorporated in line with the above.
- 48. EYRC's Combined Planning Note and Standing Advice on SuDS & Surface Water Drainage Requirements for New Development (2016) provides guidance on the design and maintenance of SuDS schemes for new developments.
- 49. The Construction Industry Research and Information Association (CIRIA) SuDS Manual C753 (CIRIA, 2015) provides best practice guidance for the design and implementation of SuDS components.
- 50. Based on the above noted guidance, it is considered that SuDS are required for the type, size and location of this development and therefore have been incorporated in this outline operational drainage strategy.

Unrestricted 004993634



1.5.5.1 SuDS Treatment Train

- 51. The SuDS treatment train is a logical sequence for implementing SuDS, and is based on the following principles:
 - Prevention
 - Source Control
 - Site Control
 - Regional Control
- 52. For the purposes of this outline drainage strategy, a combination of source control and site control has been selected for the development. This will be reviewed at detailed design stage, post DCO consent.

1.5.5.2 SuDS Discharge Hierarchy

- 53. The SuDS discharge hierarchy describes the priority for selecting a method of surface water discharge, and is based on the following sequence:
 - Priority 1 Surface water runoff is collected for re-use.
 - Priority 2 Surface water runoff is infiltrated to ground.
 - Priority 3 Surface water runoff is discharged to a surface water body.
 - Priority 4 Surface water runoff is discharged to a surface water sewer, highway drain, or another drainage system.
 - Priority 5 Surface water runoff is discharged to a combined sewer.
- 54. Priority 1 due to the nature of the Projects, there is likely to be a limited opportunity for the re-use of surface water, however this will be reviewed at detailed design stage.
- 55. Priority 2 Site investigation and infiltration tests for the site have been undertaken. The desktop studies suggest that the underlaying strata on site will be unsuitable for the disposal of surface water to ground via infiltration. However, this will be reviewed once results of the site investigation are available.
- 56. Priority 3 As described in section 1.5.2 of this report, there are three watercourses crossing the site. As such, these have been identified as the primary points of discharge for the disposal of surface water. The suitability of each of these watercourses for discharge is to be further assessed on site prior to the detailed design stage, however they are expected to be suitable given they are shown as ordinary watercourses on Environment Agency flood maps and discharge from the site will be restricted to greenfield runoff rates.

Unrestricted 004993634



- 57. Priority 4 There are no surface water sewers identified near the site. Highway drains for the A1079 / A164 may present an opportunity for discharge of surface water but other options above take priority for the discharge of surface water from the Projects.
- 58. Priority 5 There are no combined sewers near the site and the options above take priority for the discharge of surface water from the Projects.
- 59. Therefore, based on the site conditions and the currently available information, the adopted method of surface water discharge has been selected as high up the SuDS Hierarchy as possible.

1.5.5.3 SuDS Water Quality Criteria

- 60. SuDS guidance requires that treatment is provided to surface water run-off to ensure preventative measures are in place to mitigate any negative impacts to the water quality of the receiving water bodies and/or downstream drainage systems.
- 61. A SuDS management train will be developed to ensure surface water run-off from specific areas on site is dealt with appropriately by removing the causes of pollution and intercepting and treating run-off.
- 62. The extent of treatment required depends on the land use proposed however SuDS components such as swales, filter drains, and pervious surfaces could be used to intercept and treat access roads and other hardstanding areas. Additional measures such as petrol / oil interceptors may also be required in areas at risk of leaks and spills.
- 63. The SuDS management train will be developed during the detailed design stage to ensure the water quality criteria for SuDS are met.

1.5.6 Surface Water Drainage Outline Strategy

- 64. Based on the SuDS treatment train and SuDS discharge hierarchy, it is anticipated that surface water run-off from the proposed Onshore Converter Station(s) will be collected by perimeter drains and attenuated within an adjacent SuDS (detention) basin (site control), prior to discharge to the nearby watercourse (Watercourse 1). Additional SuDS components will be incorporated as necessary (source control) to comply with water quality criteria. This will be reviewed at the detailed design stage. Preliminary design of the SuDS (detention) basin is outlined in section 1.5.7 below.
- 65. The proposed SuDS (detention) basin is located just south of an area of ancient woodland. The position and shape of the basin will be reviewed at the detailed stage to ensure a 15m buffer from the ancient woodland edge. The buffer will exclude storage of materials, movement of plant machinery and any other construction related activities.

Unrestricted 004993634



- 66. Surface water run-off from the proposed access road will be collected and attenuated in filter trenches adjacent to the road (source control) prior to discharge to Watercourses 2 & 3. Filter trenches are subject to detailed design and may incorporate additional SuDS components as necessary to be reviewed at detailed design stage.
- 67. Surface water run-off from topography tending towards the proposed location of the Onshore Converter Station platforms shall be redirected by installing cut-off drains / ditches at the platform perimeter, thus diverting overland flows around the Onshore Converter Station(s) and back into Watercourse 1 immediately to the east of the proposed development. Cut off drains / ditches will be designed at detailed design stage to mimic existing overland flows. Any re-direction of existing ordinary watercourses will be subject to OWC.
- 68. Where watercourses are crossed by the proposed access road, culverts will be installed to maintain existing flow paths. Culverts will be designed at detailed design stage to mimic existing overland flows.
- 69. Drawing ED13554-GE-1100 showing the indicative Onshore Converter Station platform and SuDS engineering layout, located in **Appendix A** of this report.

1.5.7 SuDS (Detention) Basin Indicative Design

- 70. ERYC's Combined Planning Note and Standing Advice on SuDS & Surface Water Drainage Requirements for New Development (2016) provides guidance on the design and maintenance of SuDS schemes for new developments.
- 71. Preliminary drainage calculations (including greenfield run-off estimates, Micro Drainage calculations and a SuDS Design Summary and Assumptions spreadsheet) have been provided in **Appendix B** of this report.
- 72. To comply with ERYC's minimum design requirements, and to cater for a "worst case" scenario, the following design parameters have been adopted:
 - <u>Hardstanding areas</u>: Onshore Converter Station footprints are assumed to be 100% hardstanding – worst case design. The designed top area of the SuDS basin is also included in the design.
 - <u>Greenfield run-off rate</u>: Restricted to the 1:1-year rainfall event (ERYC guidance: Section 3(b)) up to the selected design storm event (see below). Greenfield run-off rates have been calculated using several methods including the 1.4 l/s/ha rate (in accordance with IDB guidance), and the IH124 and FEH methods (using the HR Wallingford Online Tool) and the lowest (worst case) rate selected. The preliminary

Unrestricted 004993634



- worst case 1:1-year greenfield run-off rate has been calculated as approximately 18.7 l/s.
- <u>Design storm event</u>: Designed to cater for up to the 1:100-year storm event (ERYC guidance: Section 3(c) & (d)). FEH13 rainfall figures have been used within the design.
- Climate change allowance: ERYC guidance: Section 7(a)) requires a minimum 30% increase in peak rainfall in hydraulic calculations for climate change. A 40% increase has been adopted in line with the upper end allowances (up to the 2070s) from GOV.uk / Environment Agency guidance (Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs – Climate Change Allowances Maps).
- <u>Design depth</u>: A maximum design depth of 1m has been adopted up to the design storm event as a worst case scenario for estimating the SuDS basin footprint. An overall construction depth of 1.5m has been adopted for the SuDS basin to allow 0.5m freeboard within the design.
- <u>Sensitivity checks</u>: Additional sensitivity checks have been undertaken to assess the impact of the 1:1,000-year storm event (+40% climate change) and the impact of a 1:10 year storm event (+40% climate change) occurring within 24 hours of the design storm event (1:100year storm event +40% climate change).
- 73. Preliminary Micro Drainage calculations, using the above parameters, have confirmed the total storage required for the 1:100-year design event (+40% climate change) with a design depth of 1m is approximately 13,958m³.
- 74. Sensitivity checks confirm there is also sufficient freeboard within the overall 1.5m construction depth of the SuDS (detention) basin to cater for the 1:1,000 year (+40% climate change).
- 75. Furthermore, the sensitivity checks also confirm there is sufficient freeboard within the overall 1.5m construction depth of the SuDS (detention) basin to cater for a 1:10-year (+40% climate change) event within 24 hours of the design event (1:100-year +40% climate change).
- 76. Based on the above, a SuDS (detention) basin with an overall construction depth of 1.5m and a plan area of approximately 18,316m² is sufficient for the design.





1.5.8 Foul Water Drainage Outline Strategy

77. The exact details of any welfare areas associated with the Onshore Converter Station(s) are still to be determined, however, given the nature of the development, foul flows are likely to be minimal. It is anticipated that any foul water flows from the site will drain to a septic tank and be tankered away or drain to a package treatment plant prior to discharge to a nearby watercourse. Design sizing and requirements will be determined at the detailed design stage.

1.5.9 Onshore Converter Station(s) Drainage Future Maintenance

- 78. Any proposed surface water / foul water drainage systems within the curtilage of the Onshore Development Area will remain the responsibility of the respective asset owner / operator or a factor on their behalf.
- 79. Regular inspections and maintenance should be carried out following periods of inclement weather and at regular intervals appropriate to each drainage element.



1.6 Conclusion

- 80. This report gives details of the outline operational drainage strategy for the Onshore Converter Station(s) as prepared in accordance with national and EYRC's guidance.
- 81. A Pre and Post Construction Land Drainage scheme will be developed to detail works required where the Projects intercepts land drainage during construction. Pre-construction drainage would be installed at the edge(s) of the Onshore Export Cable Corridor.
- 82. Post construction drainage would be installed following the completions of the works providing restoration of drainage capacity in temporary works areas.
- 83. Existing surface water flows can be managed appropriately on site, and the proposals incorporate the principles of SuDS to manage surface water runoff from hardstanding areas on site.
- 84. Cut-off drains / ditches are provided to re-direct overland flows away from the proposed Onshore Converter Station footprint and appropriately sized culverts are to be provided where watercourses are crossed by the proposed access road (to maintain existing flow paths).
- 85. Sufficient space is available for a SuDS (detention) basin catering for run-off from the Onshore Converter Sstation platforms (100% hardstanding) up to the 1:100-year design storm (+40% climate change) when discharge is limited to the 1:1-year greenfield run-off rate.
- 86. Sufficient freeboard is also allowed for within the design to store run-off during the 1:1,000-year storm event (+40% climate change) or a 1:10-year storm event (+40% climate change) occurring within 24 hours of the design storm event (1:100 year + 40% climate change).
- 87. The proposed outline drainage strategy effectively mitigates the risk of surface water flooding both to and from the development and the incorporation of SuDS techniques will aid in meeting the criteria for water quality.
- 88. The drainage strategy and calculations outlined in this report are preliminary and indicative only to aid in the development of the outline design. These will be subject to change and refinement as more information becomes available and the detailed design for the site is developed.





References

Construction Industry Research and Information Association (2015), SuDS Manual C753


Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (January, 2024), National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1), https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/overarching-national-policy-statement-for-energy-en-1


Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (Dec, 2023), National Planning Policy Framework, https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2


Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (2022), Planning Practice Guidance for Flood Risk and Coastal Change, https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/planning-practice-quidance

Standing Advice on SuDS & Surface Water Drainage Requirements for New Development (2016)

APPENDIX A - SCHEME DRAWINGS







APPENDIX B - PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS

SUDS Design Summary - Dogger Bank - Substation Zone 4

Notes:

- 1. SUDS design proposal to attenuate surface water flows from substation hardstanding areas associated with Dogger Bank substations (not including access roads, cable sealing compounds or any other unknown / undefined hardstanding areas).
- 2. Substation Zone 4 2 x HVDC (AIS) option proposed. HVDC (AIS) footprint = 264m x 244m.
- 3. Substation footprints assumed to be 100% hardstanding for design.
- 4. Drainage from substations to discharge to SUDS Basin then to an existing watercourse at the pre-development run-off rate. To mimic existing drainage regime and achieve no net increase in flows to receiving watercourse.
- 5. SUDS design undertaken in line with national and local guidance and as set out in The SUDS Manual (C753).
- 6. Pre Development discharge rates estimated using FEH method HR Wallingford Greenfield Runoff Rate Estimation Online Tool.
- 7. SUDS sizing estimated using FEH13 Rainfall and Micro Drainage design software.
- 8. Additional SUDS to be provided as source control / treatment during detailed design.

8. Additional SODS to be provided as source control / treatment during de	talled design.		
Design Parameters / Assumptions	HVDC (AIS)	HVDC (AIS)	Change Notes
Hardstanding (all footprints assumed 100% impermeable)			
Substation operational footprint (m2)	64,416	64,416	
Substation operational rootprint (m2)	04,410	04,410	
	· ·	ı	
SUDS Basin Footprint (including perimeter access track) (m2)	18,316		
g parameter (1,753		
Total (m2)	147,148		
Pre-Development Run-Off Rates (calculated from HR Wallingford Greenf	ield Runoff Rate Estimation Online Tool) (I/s)		
1.4 l/s/ha (l/s)	20.60		
	IH124 Meth	and	
1 Year Return (I/s)	18.68	<u>100</u>	
2 Year Return (Q _{BAR}) (I/s)	21.72		
30 Year Return (I/s)	38.01		
100 Year Return (I/s)	45.18		Changed 2 I/s/ha rate to 1.4 I/s/ha
200 Year Return (I/s)	51.48		rate
	FEH Metho	<u>od</u>	
1 Year Return (I/s)	34.25		
2 Year Return (Q _{BAR}) (I/s)	39.83		
30 Year Return (I/s)	69.70		
100 Year Return (I/s)	82.84		
200 Year Return (I/s)	94.39		
Attenuated Post Development Run-Off Rates	Limited to pre-development (1-year IH124) ru 1.4 l/s/ha rate and		Changed 2 I//ha rate to 1.4 I/s/ha rate
esign Storm Event 1 in 100 year + 40% climate change as per SCC guidance.			
Attenuation Storage Required (calculated from FEH13 Rainfall using Mici	ro Drainage design software) (m3)		
All Hardstanding Areas (m2)	13,958.00	n	
All Hardstanding Areas (m3)	15,556.00	U	
Total storage required (m3)	13958		
Design Check - Attenuation Dimensions (m)			
Design Top area (m2)	15,370		
Freeboard Top area (m2)	16,049		
Perimeter access track top area (m2)	18,079		
Basin Top area (m2)	18,316		
Base area (m2)	13,174		
Design storage depth (m)	1.0		
Design freeboard (design depth + 0.3m) (m)	1.3		
Overall depth (design depth + 0.5m) (m) Side slopes (m)	1.5 1 in 4		
Joine Stopes (III)	1 1104		1

Design Check - Attenuation Storage Provided Detention Basins Basin Design Freeboard Perimeter access track Additional storage between track and basin top Total (design) Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Design storage required < attenuation storage provided? Discharge Location Sensitivity Check - Attenuation Storage Provided Storage Requirements 1 in 200 year + 40% climate change 1 in 1000 year + 40% climate change Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity check storage required < attenuation storage provided? Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time Half Drain Down Time = < 24 hours?	14,272 4712.85 1706.4 1819.75 14,272 22,511 YES = OK Existing watercourse (TBC).	Design flows up to 1:100 year + 40% CC are attenuated within the basin design depth. Additional 300mm freeboard provided provided over and above design capacity with another 200mm to the top of the basin from the bottom edge of the access track (total 1.5m depth).
Detention Basins Basin Design Freeboard Perimeter access track Additional storage between track and basin top Total (design) Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Design storage required < attenuation storage provided? Discharge Location Sensitivity Check - Attenuation Storage Provided Storage Requirements 1 in 200 year + 40% climate change 1 in 1000 year + 40% climate change Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time	4712.85 1706.4 1819.75 14,272 22,511 YES = OK Existing watercourse (TBC).	40% CC are attenuated within the basin design depth. Additional 300mm freeboard provided provided over and above design capacity with another 200mm to the top of the basin from the bottom edge of the
Basin Design Freeboard Perimeter access track Additional storage between track and basin top Total (design) Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Design storage required < attenuation storage provided? Discharge Location Sensitivity Check - Attenuation Storage Provided Storage Requirements 1 in 200 year + 40% climate change 1 in 1000 year + 40% climate change Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity check storage required < attenuation storage provided? Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time	4712.85 1706.4 1819.75 14,272 22,511 YES = OK Existing watercourse (TBC).	40% CC are attenuated within the basin design depth. Additional 300mm freeboard provided provided over and above design capacity with another 200mm to the top of the basin from the bottom edge of the
Freeboard Perimeter access track Additional storage between track and basin top Total (design) Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Design storage required < attenuation storage provided? Discharge Location Sensitivity Check - Attenuation Storage Provided Storage Requirements 1 in 200 year + 40% climate change 1 in 1000 year + 40% climate change Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time	4712.85 1706.4 1819.75 14,272 22,511 YES = OK Existing watercourse (TBC).	40% CC are attenuated within the basin design depth. Additional 300mm freeboard provided provided over and above design capacity with another 200mm to the top of the basin from the bottom edge of the
Perimeter access track Additional storage between track and basin top Total (design) Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Design storage required < attenuation storage provided? Discharge Location Sensitivity Check - Attenuation Storage Provided Storage Requirements 1 in 200 year + 40% climate change 1 in 1000 year + 40% climate change Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time	1706.4 1819.75 14,272 22,511 YES = OK Existing watercourse (TBC).	40% CC are attenuated within the basin design depth. Additional 300mm freeboard provided provided over and above design capacity with another 200mm to the top of the basin from the bottom edge of the
Additional storage between track and basin top Total (design) Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Design storage required < attenuation storage provided? Discharge Location Sensitivity Check - Attenuation Storage Provided Storage Requirements 1 in 200 year + 40% climate change 1 in 1000 year + 40% climate change Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time	1819.75 14,272 22,511 YES = OK Existing watercourse (TBC).	40% CC are attenuated within the basin design depth. Additional 300mm freeboard provided provided over and above design capacity with another 200mm to the top of the basin from the bottom edge of the
Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Design storage required < attenuation storage provided? Discharge Location Sensitivity Check - Attenuation Storage Provided Storage Requirements 1 in 200 year + 40% climate change 1 in 1000 year + 40% climate change Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time	14,272 22,511 YES = OK Existing watercourse (TBC).	40% CC are attenuated within the basin design depth. Additional 300mm freeboard provided provided over and above design capacity with another 200mm to the top of the basin from the bottom edge of the
Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Design storage required < attenuation storage provided? Discharge Location Sensitivity Check - Attenuation Storage Provided Storage Requirements 1 in 200 year + 40% climate change 1 in 1000 year + 40% climate change Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time	22,511 YES = OK Existing watercourse (TBC).	40% CC are attenuated within the basin design depth. Additional 300mm freeboard provided provided over and above design capacity with another 200mm to the top of the basin from the bottom edge of the
Design storage required < attenuation storage provided? Discharge Location Sensitivity Check - Attenuation Storage Provided Storage Requirements 1 in 200 year + 40% climate change 1 in 1000 year + 40% climate change Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity check storage required < attenuation storage provided? Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time	YES = OK Existing watercourse (TBC).	40% CC are attenuated within the basin design depth. Additional 300mm freeboard provided provided over and above design capacity with another 200mm to the top of the basin from the bottom edge of the
Discharge Location Sensitivity Check - Attenuation Storage Provided Storage Requirements 1 in 200 year + 40% climate change 1 in 1000 year + 40% climate change Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity check storage required < attenuation storage provided? Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time	Existing watercourse (TBC).	40% CC are attenuated within the basin design depth. Additional 300mm freeboard provided provided over and above design capacity with another 200mm to the top of the basin from the bottom edge of the
Sensitivity Check - Attenuation Storage Provided Storage Requirements 1 in 200 year + 40% climate change 1 in 1000 year + 40% climate change Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity check storage required < attenuation storage provided? Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time		40% CC are attenuated within the basin design depth. Additional 300mm freeboard provided provided over and above design capacity with another 200mm to the top of the basin from the bottom edge of the
Sensitivity Check - Attenuation Storage Provided Storage Requirements 1 in 200 year + 40% climate change 1 in 1000 year + 40% climate change Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity check storage required < attenuation storage provided? Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time		40% CC are attenuated within the basin design depth. Additional 300mm freeboard provided provided over and above design capacity with another 200mm to the top of the basin from the bottom edge of the
Sensitivity Check - Attenuation Storage Provided Storage Requirements 1 in 200 year + 40% climate change 1 in 1000 year + 40% climate change Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity check storage required < attenuation storage provided? Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time		design capacity with another 200mm to the top of the basin from the bottom edge of the
Storage Requirements 1 in 200 year + 40% climate change 1 in 1000 year + 40% climate change Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity check storage required < attenuation storage provided? Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time		
1 in 200 year + 40% climate change 1 in 1000 year + 40% climate change Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity check storage required < attenuation storage provided? Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time	40004	
1 in 200 year + 40% climate change 1 in 1000 year + 40% climate change Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity check storage required < attenuation storage provided? Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time	40,000.4	
Storage Available Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity check storage required < attenuation storage provided? Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time	16,022.1	
Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity check storage required < attenuation storage provided? Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time	22,229.1	
Total (inc. freeboard, access track etc) Sensitivity check storage required < attenuation storage provided? Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time		
Sensitivity Check - Half Drain Down Time	<u>22,511</u>	
	YES = OK	
Half Drain Down Time = < 24 hours?		
	NO	
Surplus Storage Available (Over and Above Design Storm)		
Total storage required (m3) - 1 in 100 year + 40% climate change	13,958	
Total storage available (inc. freeboard, access track etc)	22,511	
Surplus (freeboard minus design)	<u>8,553</u>	
1 in 10 year + 40% climate change		
Subsequent storm surplus storage can cater for	<u>8501.1</u>	
Sensitivity check storage required < attenuation storage provided?	8501.1 Up to 1 in 10 year	



Greenfield runoff rate estimation for sites

Sep 25 2023 15:31

www.uksuds.com | Greenfield runoff tool

Site Details

Latitude:	53.81545° N
Longitude:	0.45314° W
Reference:	4217358124

Calculated by:

Christopher Sneddon

Dogger Bank

Site location:

SS Zone 4 - 2x HVDC FEH

This is an estimation of the greenfield runoff rates that are used to meet normal best practice criteria in line with Environment Agency guidance "Rainfall runoff management for developments", SC030219 (2013), the SuDS Manual C753 (Ciria, 2015) and the non-statutory standards for SuDS (Defra, 2015). This information on greenfield runoff rates may be the basis for setting consents for the drainage of surface water runoff from sites.

Runoff estimation approach

FEH Statistical

Site characteristics

Total site area (ha):

12.8832

Methodology

Q_{MED} estimation method:

BFI and SPR method:

BFI / BFIHOST:

HOST class:

Q_{MED} (I/s):

Q_{BAR} / Q_{MED} factor:

Calculate from BFI and SAAR	
Specify BFI manually	
N/A	
0.440	
1.06	

Default

Hydrological characteristics

SAAR (mm):

Hydrological region:

Growth curve factor 1 year.

Growth curve factor 30 years:

Growth curve factor 100 years:

Growth curve factor 200 years:

655
3
0.86
1.75
2.08
2.37

Edited

Notes

(1) Is $Q_{BAB} < 2.0 \text{ l/s/ha}$?

When Q_{BAR} is < 2.0 l/s/ha then limiting discharge rates are set at 2.0 l/s/ha.

(2) Are flow rates < 5.0 I/s?

Where flow rates are less than 5.0 l/s consent for discharge is usually set at 5.0 l/s if blockage from vegetation and other materials is possible. Lower consent flow rates may be set where the blockage risk is addressed by using appropriate drainage elements.

(3) Is $SPR/SPRHOST \le 0.3$?

Where groundwater levels are low enough the use of soakaways to avoid discharge offsite would normally be preferred for disposal of surface water runoff.

Greenfield runoff rates	Default	Edited
Q _{BAR} (I/s):		39.83
1 in 1 year (l/s):		34.25
1 in 30 years (I/s):		69.7
1 in 100 year (I/s):		82.84
1 in 200 years (I/s):		94.39

This report was produced using the greenfield runoff tool developed by HR Wallingford and available at www.uksuds.com. The use of this tool is subject to the UK SuDS terms and conditions and licence agreement, which can both be found at www.uksuds.com/terms-and-conditions.htm. The outputs from this tool are estimates of greenfield runoff rates. The use of these results is the responsibility of the users of this tool. No liability will be accepted by HR Wallingford, the Environment Agency, CEH, Hydrosolutions or any other organisation for the use of this data in the design or operational characteristics of any drainage scheme.



Greenfield runoff rate estimation for sites

www.uksuds.com | Greenfield runoff tool

Site Details

0.10 0 0 10	.,,
Latitude:	53.81545° N
Longitude:	0.45314° W
Reference:	3277730978

Calculated by: Christopher Sneddon Dogger Bank Site name: SS Zone 4 - 2x HVDC IH124 Site location:

This is an estimation of the greenfield runoff rates that are used to meet normal best practice criteria in line with Environment Agency guidance "Rainfall runoff management for developments", SC030219 (2013), the SuDS Manual C753 (Ciria, 2015) and the non-statutory standards for SuDS (Defra, 2015). This information on greenfield runoff rates may be the basis for setting consents for **Date:** the drainage of surface water runoff from sites.

IH124

Reference:

Sep 25 2023 15:33

Runoff estimation approach

Site characteristics

Total site area (ha):

Notes

(1) Is $Q_{BAR} < 2.0 \text{ I/s/ha}$?

Methodology

QBAR estimation method:

SPR estimation method:

Calculate from SPR and SAAR

Calculate from SOIL type

When QBAR is < 2.0 l/s/ha then limiting discharge rates are set at 2.0 l/s/ha.

Soil characteristics

SOIL type:

HOST class:

SPR/SPRHOST:

J	Default	Edited
	2	2
	N/A	N/A
	0.3	0.3

Hydrological characteristics

SAAR (mm):

Hydrological region:

Growth curve factor 1 year.

Growth curve factor 30 vears:

Growth curve factor 100

Growth curve factor 200 years:

_	Derault	Edited
	2	2
	N/A	N/A
	0.3	0.3

Default Edited

655 655 3 3

0.86 0.86

1.75 1.75

2.08 2.08

2.37 2.37

(2) Are flow rates < 5.0 l/s?

Where flow rates are less than 5.0 I/s consent for discharge is usually set at 5.0 I/s if blockage from vegetation and other materials is possible. Lower consent flow rates may be set where the blockage risk is addressed by using appropriate drainage elements.

(3) Is $SPR/SPRHOST \le 0.3$?

Where groundwater levels are low enough the use of soakaways to avoid discharge offsite would normally be preferred for disposal of surface water runoff.

Greenfield runoff rates	Default	Edited
Q _{BAR} (I/s):	21.72	21.72
1 in 1 year (I/s):	18.68	18.68
1 in 30 years (I/s):	38.01	38.01
1 in 100 year (I/s):	45.18	45.18
1 in 200 years (I/s):	51.48	51.48

This report was produced using the greenfield runoff tool developed by HR Wallingford and available at www.uksuds.com. The use of this tool is subject to the UK SuDS terms and conditions and licence agreement, which can both be found at www.uksuds.com/terms-and-conditions.htm. The outputs from this tool are estimates of greenfield runoff rates. The use of these results is the responsibility of the users of this tool. No liability will be accepted by HR Wallingford, the Environment Agency, CEH, Hydrosolutions or any other organisation for the use of this data in the design or operational characteristics of any drainage scheme.

Wardell Armstrong LLP		Page 1
Unit 5, Newton Business Park		
Newton Chambers Road		
Sheffield S35 2PH		Micro
Date 12/10/2023 16:07	Designed by csneddon	Designation
File SS4 Detention Basin HVDC (AIS) x	Checked by	pianade
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1	

Summary of Results for 10 year Return Period (+40%)

Storm		Max	Max	Max	Max	Status	
	Even	t	Level	Depth	Control	Volume	
			(m)	(m)	(1/s)	(m³)	
1.5		~	0 160	0 160	14.0	0170 0	0.77
		Summer				2170.8	0 K
		Summer			18.0		0 K
60		Summer				3438.0	O K
		Summer			18.7		O K
180	min	Summer	0.341	0.341	18.7	4616.2	O K
240	min	Summer	0.364	0.364	18.7	4938.0	O K
360	min	Summer	0.397	0.397	18.7	5397.3	O K
480	min	Summer	0.420	0.420	18.7	5727.4	O K
600	min	Summer	0.439	0.439	18.7	5983.6	O K
720	min	Summer	0.453	0.453	18.7	6191.2	O K
960	min	Summer	0.476	0.476	18.7	6514.0	O K
1440	min	Summer	0.503	0.503	18.7	6901.4	O K
2160	min	Summer	0.522	0.522	18.7	7170.0	O K
2880	min	Summer	0.529	0.529	18.7	7271.5	O K
4320	min	Summer	0.537	0.537	18.7	7377.9	O K
5760	min	Summer	0.542	0.542	18.7	7448.6	O K
7200	min	Summer	0.546	0.546	18.7	7514.6	O K
8640	min	Summer	0.549	0.549	18.7	7561.8	O K
10080	min	Summer	0.552	0.552	18.7	7595.7	O K
15	min	Winter	0.182	0.182	16.2	2431.0	O K
30	min	Winter	0.233	0.233	18.3	3128.0	O K
60	min	Winter	0.286	0.286	18.6	3853.1	O K
120	min	Winter	0.345	0.345	18.7	4677.4	O K
180	min	Winter	0.381	0.381	18.7	5179.5	O K

Storm		Rain	Flooded	Discharge	Time-Peak	
	Even	t	(mm/hr)	Volume	Volume	(mins)
				(m³)	(m³)	
15	min	Summer	78.937	0.0	858.1	19
30	min	Summer	50.914	0.0	1182.4	34
60	min	Summer	31.486	0.0	2221.3	64
120	min	Summer	19.243	0.0	2677.3	124
180	min	Summer	14.296	0.0	2886.6	184
240	min	Summer	11.544	0.0	2988.2	244
360	min	Summer	8.516	0.0	3035.2	364
480	min	Summer	6.856	0.0	3000.5	482
600	min	Summer	5.794	0.0	2956.0	602
720	min	Summer	5.050	0.0	2907.7	722
960	min	Summer	4.068	0.0	2807.9	962
1440	min	Summer	2.991	0.0	2612.5	1442
2160	min	Summer	2.195	0.0	5551.5	2160
2880	min	Summer	1.766	0.0	5285.8	2796
4320	min	Summer	1.308	0.0	4758.4	3460
5760	min	Summer	1.064	0.0	9915.5	4264
7200	min	Summer	0.913	0.0	10044.8	5048
8640	min	Summer	0.809	0.0	9686.9	5888
10080	min	Summer	0.734	0.0	9197.3	6760
15	min	Winter	78.937	0.0	997.4	19
30	min	Winter	50.914	0.0	1330.2	34
60	min	Winter	31.486	0.0	2488.7	64
120	min	Winter	19.243	0.0	2914.7	122
180	min	Winter	14.296	0.0	3061.0	182

Wardell Armstrong LLP		Page 2
Unit 5, Newton Business Park		
Newton Chambers Road		
Sheffield S35 2PH		Micro
Date 12/10/2023 16:07	Designed by csneddon	Drainage
File SS4 Detention Basin HVDC (AIS) x	Checked by	Dialilage
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1	•

Summary of Results for 10 year Return Period (+40%)

	Stor		Max	Max	Max	Max	Status
	Even	t	Level	Depth	Control	Volume	
			(m)	(m)	(1/s)	(m³)	
240	min	Winter	0.407	0.407	18.7	5543.2	ОК
		Winter				6064.4	
480	min	Winter	0.471	0.471	18.7	6441.0	ОК
600	min	Winter	0.492	0.492	18.7	6735.3	ОК
720	min	Winter	0.508	0.508	18.7	6975.0	O K
960	min	Winter	0.535	0.535	18.7	7352.1	O K
1440	min	Winter	0.567	0.567	18.7	7821.2	O K
2160	min	Winter	0.592	0.592	18.7	8181.1	O K
2880	min	Winter	0.605	0.605	18.7	8358.8	O K
4320	min	Winter	0.612	0.612	18.7	8460.9	O K
5760	min	Winter	0.612	0.612	18.7	8463.3	O K
7200	min	Winter	0.614	0.614	18.7	8497.1	O K
8640	min	Winter	0.615	0.615	18.7	8501.1	O K
10080	min	Winter	0.613	0.613	18.7	8482.2	ОК

Storm		Rain	Flooded	Discharge	Time-Peak	
	Even	t	(mm/hr)	Volume	Volume	(mins)
				(m³)	(m³)	
240	min	Winter	11.544	0.0	3094.7	240
360	min	Winter	8.516	0.0	3064.2	360
480	min	Winter	6.856	0.0	3018.5	478
600	min	Winter	5.794	0.0	2968.8	596
720	min	Winter	5.050	0.0	2918.5	714
960	min	Winter	4.068	0.0	2820.3	946
1440	min	Winter	2.991	0.0	2638.2	1412
2160	min	Winter	2.195	0.0	5589.2	2096
2880	min	Winter	1.766	0.0	5329.5	2768
4320	min	Winter	1.308	0.0	4852.9	4060
5760	min	Winter	1.064	0.0	10642.0	4608
7200	min	Winter	0.913	0.0	10356.4	5544
8640	min	Winter	0.809	0.0	9916.9	6480
10080	min	Winter	0.734	0.0	9480.3	7456

Wardell Armstrong LLP		Page 3
		lage 5
Unit 5, Newton Business Park		
Newton Chambers Road		
Sheffield S35 2PH		Micro
Date 12/10/2023 16:07	Designed by csneddon	Drainage
File SS4 Detention Basin HVDC (AIS) x	Checked by	Dian lade
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1	

Rainfall Model FEH Winter Storms Yes Return Period (years) 10 Cv (Summer) 0.750 FEH Rainfall Version 2013 Cv (Winter) 0.840 Site Location GB 503065 437026 TA 03065 37026 Shortest Storm (mins) 15 Data Type Point Longest Storm (mins) 10080 Summer Storms

Time Area Diagram

Total Area (ha) 14.715

Wardell Armstrong LLP		Page 4
Unit 5, Newton Business Park		
Newton Chambers Road		
Sheffield S35 2PH		Micro
Date 12/10/2023 16:07	Designed by csneddon	Drainage
File SS4 Detention Basin HVDC (AIS) \times	. Checked by	niailiade
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1	•

Storage is Online Cover Level (m) 1.500

Tank or Pond Structure

Invert Level (m) 0.000

Depth (m)	Area (m²)								
0.000	13174.0	1.000	15370.0	1.300	16049.0	1.400	18079.0	1.500	18316.0

Hydro-Brake® Optimum Outflow Control

Unit Reference MD-SHE-0193-1870-1000-1870 1.000 Design Head (m) Design Flow (1/s) 18.7 Flush-Flo™ Calculated Objective Minimise upstream storage Application Surface Sump Available Yes Diameter (mm) 193 Invert Level (m) 0.000 Minimum Outlet Pipe Diameter (mm) 225 Suggested Manhole Diameter (mm) 1500

Control	Points	Head (m)	Flow (1/s)	Control Points	Head (m)	Flow (1/s)
Design Point	(Calculated)	1.000	18.7	Kick-Flo®	0.717	16.0
	Flush-Flo™	0.336	18.7	Mean Flow over Head Range	_	15.8

Depth (m)	Flow (1/s)								
0.100	6.7	0.800	16.8	2.000	26.0	4.000	36.3	7.000	47.6
0.200	17.9	1.000	18.7	2.200	27.2	4.500	38.5	7.500	49.2
0.300	18.7	1.200	20.4	2.400	28.4	5.000	40.5	8.000	50.8
0.400	18.6	1.400	21.9	2.600	29.5	5.500	42.4	8.500	52.3
0.500	18.3	1.600	23.4	3.000	31.6	6.000	44.2	9.000	53.8
0.600	17.7	1.800	24.7	3.500	34.1	6.500	45.9	9.500	55.2

Wardell Armstrong LLP		Page 1
Unit 5, Newton Business Park		
Newton Chambers Road		
Sheffield S35 2PH		Micco
Date 12/10/2023 16:04	Designed by csneddon	Drainage
File SS4 Detention Basin HVDC (AIS) x	Checked by	Diali lacje
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1	

Summary of Results for 100 year Return Period (+40%)

Storm Event		Max Level (m)	Max Depth (m)	Max Control (1/s)	Max Volume (m³)	Status	
15	min	Summer	0.278	0.278	18.6	3742.7	ок
		Summer			18.7		
60	min	Summer	0.449	0.449	18.7	6134.1	ОК
120	min	Summer	0.514	0.514	18.7	7050.8	ОК
180	min	Summer	0.554	0.554	18.7	7624.1	ОК
240	min	Summer	0.583	0.583	18.7	8045.0	ОК
360	min	Summer	0.625	0.625	18.7	8652.2	ОК
480	min	Summer	0.656	0.656	18.7	9098.7	ОК
600	min	Summer	0.680	0.680	18.7	9453.3	ОК
720	min	Summer	0.700	0.700	18.7	9747.7	O K
960	min	Summer	0.732	0.732	18.7	10220.7	O K
1440	min	Summer	0.775	0.775	18.7	10852.5	O K
2160	min	Summer	0.811	0.811	18.7	11393.1	O K
2880	min	Summer	0.832	0.832	18.7	11703.7	O K
4320	min	Summer	0.851	0.851	18.7	11986.7	O K
5760	min	Summer	0.855	0.855	18.7	12060.1	O K
7200	min	Summer	0.861	0.861	18.7	12139.6	O K
8640	min	Summer	0.865	0.865	18.7	12205.0	O K
10080	min	Summer	0.869	0.869	18.7	12256.8	O K
15	min	Winter	0.310	0.310	18.7	4192.6	O K
30	min	Winter	0.405	0.405	18.7	5511.3	O K
60	min	Winter		0.501	18.7		O K
120	min	Winter	0.573	0.573	18.7	7903.3	ОК

Storm			Flooded	Discharge	Time-Peak
Event		(mm/hr)	Volume	Volume	(mins)
			(m³)	(m³)	
					19
min	Summer		0.0	1594.1	34
min	Summer	55.972	0.0	3182.7	64
min	Summer	32.333	0.0	3152.3	124
min	Summer	23.419	0.0	3110.0	184
min	Summer	18.617	0.0	3068.4	244
min	Summer	13.461	0.0	2990.4	364
min	Summer	10.701	0.0	2916.3	484
min	Summer	8.962	0.0	2844.1	604
min	Summer	7.756	0.0	2772.7	724
min	Summer	6.183	0.0	2636.5	962
min	Summer	4.495	0.0	2460.7	1442
min	Summer	3.273	0.0	5255.7	2160
min	Summer	2.620	0.0	5049.2	2880
min	Summer	1.928	0.0	4783.9	4320
min	Summer	1.559	0.0	10460.2	5248
min	Summer	1.330	0.0	10048.9	5976
min	Summer	1.171	0.0	9681.2	6744
min	Summer	1.054	0.0	9387.5	7472
min	Winter	136.059	0.0	1579.2	19
min	Winter	89.543	0.0	1598.2	34
min	Winter	55.972	0.0	3182.4	64
min	Winter	32.333	0.0	3125.9	124
	min	min Summer	Event (mm/hr) min Summer 89.543 min Summer 55.972 min Summer 32.333 min Summer 23.419 min Summer 18.617 min Summer 10.701 min Summer 7.756 min Summer 6.183 min Summer 4.495 min Summer 3.273 min Summer 1.928 min Summer 1.559 min Summer 1.330 min Summer 1.171 min Summer 1.054 min Summer 5.55,972	Kyent (mm/hr) Volume (m³) min Summer 36.059 0.0 min Summer 89.543 0.0 min Summer 55.972 0.0 min Summer 32.333 0.0 min Summer 18.617 0.0 min Summer 10.701 0.0 min Summer 7.756 0.0 min Summer 6.183 0.0 min Summer 4.495 0.0 min Summer 3.273 0.0 min Summer 1.928 0.0 min Summer 1.928 0.0 min Summer 1.928 0.0 min Summer 1.928 0.0 min Summer 1.054 0.0 min Winter	Event (mm/hr) Volume (m³) Volume (m³) min Summer 136.059 0.0 1518.7 min Summer 89.543 0.0 1594.1 min Summer 55.972 0.0 3182.7 min Summer 32.333 0.0 3152.3 min Summer 23.419 0.0 3110.0 min Summer 18.617 0.0 3068.4 min Summer 13.461 0.0 2990.4 min Summer 10.701 0.0 2916.3 min Summer 8.962 0.0 2772.7 min Summer 7.756 0.0 2772.7 min Summer 4.495 0.0 2460.7 min Summer 3.273 0.0 5255.7 min Summer 1.928 0.0 4783.9 min Summer 1.559 0.0 10460.2 min Summer

Wardell Armstrong LLP		Page 2
Unit 5, Newton Business Park		
Newton Chambers Road		
Sheffield S35 2PH		Micro
Date 12/10/2023 16:04	Designed by csneddon	Drainage
File SS4 Detention Basin HVDC (AIS) x	Checked by	namaye
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1	

Summary of Results for 100 year Return Period (+40%)

Storm Event			Max Depth	Max Control	Max Volume	Status
		(m)	(m)	(l/s)	(m³)	
min	Winter	0.618	0.618	18.7	8550.1	ОК
min	Winter	0.651	0.651	18.7	9025.9	O K
min	Winter	0.698	0.698	18.7	9715.8	O K
min	Winter	0.732	0.732	18.7	10226.8	O K
min	Winter	0.760	0.760	18.7	10632.8	O K
min	Winter	0.782	0.782	18.7	10968.5	O K
min	Winter	0.818	0.818	18.7	11504.5	O K
min	Winter	0.867	0.867	18.7	12228.1	O K
min	Winter	0.909	0.909	18.7	12872.0	O K
min	Winter	0.935	0.935	18.7	13263.4	O K
min	Winter	0.962	0.962	18.7	13682.9	O K
min	Winter	0.973	0.973	18.7	13848.6	O K
min	Winter	0.977	0.977	18.7	13900.6	O K
min	Winter	0.977	0.977	18.7	13910.8	ОК
min	Winter	0.980	0.980	18.7	13958.0	O K
	min	min Winter	Event (m) min Winter 0.618 min Winter 0.651 min Winter 0.732 min Winter 0.760 min Winter 0.782 min Winter 0.782 min Winter 0.818 min Winter 0.867 min Winter 0.909 min Winter 0.909 min Winter 0.935 min Winter 0.973 min Winter 0.977 min Winter 0.977	Event Level (m) Depth (m) min Winter 0.618 0.618 min Winter 0.651 0.651 min Winter 0.698 0.698 min Winter 0.732 0.732 min Winter 0.760 0.760 min Winter 0.818 0.818 min Winter 0.867 0.867 min Winter 0.909 0.909 min Winter 0.935 0.935 min Winter 0.962 0.962 min Winter 0.973 0.973 min Winter 0.977 0.977 min Winter 0.977 0.977	Event Level (m) Depth (m) Control (1/s) min Winter 0.618 0.618 18.7 min Winter 0.651 0.651 18.7 min Winter 0.698 0.698 18.7 min Winter 0.732 0.732 18.7 min Winter 0.760 0.760 18.7 min Winter 0.818 0.818 18.7 min Winter 0.867 0.867 18.7 min Winter 0.909 0.909 18.7 min Winter 0.935 0.935 18.7 min Winter 0.962 0.962 18.7 min Winter 0.973 0.973 18.7 min Winter 0.973 0.973 18.7 min Winter 0.977 0.977 18.7 min Winter 0.977 0.977 18.7	Event Level (m) Depth (m) Control (1/s) Volume (m³) min Winter 0.618 0.618 18.7 8550.1 min Winter 0.651 0.651 18.7 9025.9 min Winter 0.698 0.698 18.7 9715.8 min Winter 0.732 0.732 18.7 10226.8 min Winter 0.760 0.760 18.7 10632.8 min Winter 0.782 0.782 18.7 10968.5 min Winter 0.818 0.818 18.7 11504.5 min Winter 0.867 0.867 18.7 12228.1 min Winter 0.909 0.909 18.7 12263.4 min Winter 0.962 0.935 18.7 13682.9 min Winter 0.973 0.973 18.7 13848.6 min Winter 0.977 0.977 18.7 13900.6 min Winter 0.977 0.977 18.7 13910.8

Storm			Rain	Flooded	Discharge	Time-Peak
Event		(mm/hr)	Volume	Volume	(mins)	
				(m³)	(m³)	
180	min	Winter	23.419	0.0	3070.3	182
240	min	Winter	18.617	0.0	3017.2	242
360	min	Winter	13.461	0.0	2912.7	360
480	min	Winter	10.701	0.0	2810.0	480
600	min	Winter	8.962	0.0	2734.3	598
720	min	Winter	7.756	0.0	2681.1	716
960	min	Winter	6.183	0.0	2621.6	952
1440	min	Winter	4.495	0.0	2583.1	1426
2160	min	Winter	3.273	0.0	5350.0	2120
2880	min	Winter	2.620	0.0	5281.0	2820
4320	min	Winter	1.928	0.0	5093.3	4152
5760	min	Winter	1.559	0.0	10729.2	5472
7200	min	Winter	1.330	0.0	10461.8	6696
8640	min	Winter	1.171	0.0	10279.5	7008
10080	min	Winter	1.054	0.0	10051.9	7872

Wardell Armstrong LLP		Page 3
Unit 5, Newton Business Park		
Newton Chambers Road		
Sheffield S35 2PH		Micro
Date 12/10/2023 16:04	Designed by csneddon	Drainage
File SS4 Detention Basin HVDC (AIS) x \dots	Checked by	niailiade
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1	

Rainfall Model FEH Winter Storms Yes Return Period (years) 100 Cv (Summer) 0.750 FEH Rainfall Version 2013 Cv (Winter) 0.840 Site Location GB 503065 437026 TA 03065 37026 Shortest Storm (mins) 15 Data Type Point Longest Storm (mins) 10080 Summer Storms

Time Area Diagram

Total Area (ha) 14.715

Wardell Armstrong LLP		Page 4
Unit 5, Newton Business Park		
Newton Chambers Road		
Sheffield S35 2PH		Micro
Date 12/10/2023 16:04	Designed by csneddon	Drainage
File SS4 Detention Basin HVDC (AIS) x	Checked by	Diamage
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1	

Storage is Online Cover Level (m) 1.500

Tank or Pond Structure

Invert Level (m) 0.000

Depth (m)	Area (m²)								
0.000	13174.0	1.000	15370.0	1.300	16049.0	1.400	18079.0	1.500	18316.0

Hydro-Brake® Optimum Outflow Control

Unit Reference MD-SHE-0193-1870-1000-1870 1.000 Design Head (m) Design Flow (1/s) 18.7 Flush-Flo™ Calculated Objective Minimise upstream storage Application Surface Sump Available Diameter (mm) 193 Invert Level (m) 0.000 Minimum Outlet Pipe Diameter (mm) 225 Suggested Manhole Diameter (mm) 1500

Control Points	Head (m)	Flow (1/s)	Control Points	Head (m)	Flow (1/s)
Design Point (Calculated	1.000	18.7	Kick-Flo®	0.717	16.0
Flush-Flo	0.336	18.7	Mean Flow over Head Range	_	15.8

Depth (m)	Flow (1/s)								
0.100	6.7	0.800	16.8	2.000	26.0	4.000	36.3	7.000	47.6
0.200	17.9	1.000	18.7	2.200	27.2	4.500	38.5	7.500	49.2
0.300	18.7	1.200	20.4	2.400	28.4	5.000	40.5	8.000	50.8
0.400	18.6	1.400	21.9	2.600	29.5	5.500	42.4	8.500	52.3
0.500	18.3	1.600	23.4	3.000	31.6	6.000	44.2	9.000	53.8
0.600	17.7	1.800	24.7	3.500	34.1	6.500	45.9	9.500	55.2

Wardell Armstrong LLP					
Unit 5, Newton Business Park					
Newton Chambers Road					
Sheffield S35 2PH		Micro			
Date 12/10/2023 16:06	Designed by csneddon	Drainage			
File SS4 Detention Basin HVDC (AIS) x \dots	Checked by	Diali laye			
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1				

Summary of Results for 200 year Return Period (+40%)

Storm			Max	Max	Max	Max	Status
	Event		Level	Depth	Control	Volume	
			(m)	(m)	(1/s)	(m³)	
		_					
		Summer					
		Summer					
		Summer					
120	min	Summer	0.589	0.589	18.7	8135.5	O K
180	min	Summer	0.635	0.635	18.7	8794.9	O K
240	min	Summer	0.668	0.668	18.7	9278.8	O K
360	min	Summer	0.715	0.715	18.7	9970.1	O K
480	min	Summer	0.750	0.750	18.7	10490.5	O K
600	min	Summer	0.778	0.778	18.7	10903.2	O K
720	min	Summer	0.801	0.801	18.7	11242.6	O K
960	min	Summer	0.836	0.836	18.7	11768.1	O K
1440	min	Summer	0.884	0.884	18.7	12487.4	O K
2160	min	Summer	0.926	0.926	18.7	13124.7	O K
2880	min	Summer	0.950	0.950	18.7	13497.2	ОК
4320	min	Summer	0.973	0.973	18.7	13837.7	ОК
5760	min	Summer	0.977	0.977	18.7	13903.7	ОК
7200	min	Summer	0.979	0.979	18.7	13938.8	ОК
8640	min	Summer	0.981	0.981	18.7	13964.8	ОК
10080	min	Summer	0.982	0.982	18.7	13977.8	ОК
15	min	Winter	0.355	0.355	18.7	4808.8	ОК
30	min	Winter	0.464	0.464	18.7	6340.7	ОК
60	min	Winter	0.576	0.576			
		Winter					
180	min	Winter	0.708	0.708	18.7	9863.3	ОК

Storm		Rain	Flooded	Discharge	Time-Peak	
	Even	t	(mm/hr)	Volume	Volume	(mins)
				(m³)	(m³)	
1.5	min	Summer	156.014	0.0	1583.9	19
			102.974	0.0	1597.3	34
60			64.669	0.0	3176.7	64
120	min	Summer	37.256	0.0	3112.8	124
180	min	Summer	26.962	0.0	3051.6	184
240	min	Summer	21.417	0.0	2992.5	244
360	min	Summer	15.452	0.0	2875.3	364
480	min	Summer	12.277	0.0	2774.4	484
600	min	Summer	10.277	0.0	2707.3	604
720	min	Summer	8.890	0.0	2663.1	724
960	min	Summer	7.071	0.0	2621.2	964
1440	min	Summer	5.132	0.0	2585.2	1442
2160	min	Summer	3.732	0.0	5353.7	2164
2880	min	Summer	2.984	0.0	5296.7	2880
4320	min	Summer	2.186	0.0	5089.0	4320
5760	min	Summer	1.761	0.0	10709.0	5480
7200	min	Summer	1.495	0.0	10429.5	6128
8640	min	Summer	1.311	0.0	10219.5	6840
10080	min	Summer	1.175	0.0	9954.2	7664
15	min	Winter	156.014	0.0	1599.4	19
30	min	Winter	102.974	0.0	1590.6	34
60	min	Winter	64.669	0.0	3147.4	64
120	min	Winter	37.256	0.0	3053.7	124
180	min	Winter	26.962	0.0	2958.1	182

Wardell Armstrong LLP				
Unit 5, Newton Business Park				
Newton Chambers Road				
Sheffield S35 2PH		Micro		
Date 12/10/2023 16:06	Designed by csneddon	Drainage		
File SS4 Detention Basin HVDC (AIS) x	Checked by	pian lade		
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1			

Summary of Results for 200 year Return Period (+40%)

	Storm Event		Max Level (m)	Max Depth (m)	Max Control (1/s)	Max Volume (m³)	Statu	ıs
240	min	Winter	0.745	0.745	18.7	10410.5	0	K
		Winter			18.7	11189.8	0	K
480	min	Winter	0.837	0.837	18.7	11775.5	0	K
600	min	Winter	0.868	0.868	18.7	12241.7	0	K
720	min	Winter	0.893	0.893	18.7	12626.7	0	K
960	min	Winter	0.933	0.933	18.7	13227.4	0	K
1440	min	Winter	0.987	0.987	18.7	14060.4	0	K
2160	min	Winter	1.037	1.037	19.0	14822.7	0	K
2880	min	Winter	1.067	1.067	19.3	15291.9	0	K
4320	min	Winter	1.099	1.099	19.6	15788.7	0	K
5760	min	Winter	1.111	1.111	19.7	15979.6	0	K
7200	min	Winter	1.114	1.114	19.7	16022.1	0	K
8640	min	Winter	1.111	1.111	19.7	15975.8	0	K
10080	min	Winter	1.111	1.111	19.7	15970.8	0	K

Storm		Rain	Flooded	Discharge	Time-Peak	
	Even	t	(mm/hr)	Volume	Volume	(mins)
				(m³)	(m³)	
240	min	Winter	21.417	0.0	2870.5	242
360	min	Winter	15.452	0.0	2779.0	360
480	min	Winter	12.277	0.0	2745.7	480
600	min	Winter	10.277	0.0	2748.4	598
720	min	Winter	8.890	0.0	2763.6	716
960	min	Winter	7.071	0.0	2772.0	952
1440	min	Winter	5.132	0.0	2737.6	1426
2160	min	Winter	3.732	0.0	5657.6	2120
2880	min	Winter	2.984	0.0	5615.7	2824
4320	min	Winter	2.186	0.0	5395.6	4188
5760	min	Winter	1.761	0.0	11183.9	5480
7200	min	Winter	1.495	0.0	11079.3	6768
8640	min	Winter	1.311	0.0	10884.1	7864
10080	min	Winter	1.175	0.0	10608.7	8064

Wardell Armstrong LLP		Page 3
Unit 5, Newton Business Park		
Newton Chambers Road		
Sheffield S35 2PH		Micro
Date 12/10/2023 16:06	Designed by csneddon	Drainage
File SS4 Detention Basin HVDC (AIS) x	Checked by	Diamage
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1	

Rainfall Model FEH Winter Storms Yes Return Period (years) 200 Cv (Summer) 0.750 FEH Rainfall Version 2013 Cv (Winter) 0.840 Site Location GB 503065 437026 TA 03065 37026 Shortest Storm (mins) 15 Data Type Point Longest Storm (mins) 10080 Summer Storms

Time Area Diagram

Total Area (ha) 14.715

Wardell Armstrong LLP		Page 4
Unit 5, Newton Business Park		
Newton Chambers Road		
Sheffield S35 2PH		Micro
Date 12/10/2023 16:06	Designed by csneddon	Drainage
File SS4 Detention Basin HVDC (AIS) x \dots	Checked by	Dialilade
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1	

Storage is Online Cover Level (m) 1.500

Tank or Pond Structure

Invert Level (m) 0.000

Depth (m)	Area (m²)								
0.000	13174.0	1.000	15370.0	1.300	16049.0	1.400	18079.0	1.500	18316.0

Hydro-Brake® Optimum Outflow Control

Unit Reference MD-SHE-0193-1870-1000-1870 1.000 Design Head (m) Design Flow (1/s) 18.7 Flush-Flo™ Calculated Objective Minimise upstream storage Application Surface Sump Available Yes Diameter (mm) 193 Invert Level (m) 0.000 Minimum Outlet Pipe Diameter (mm) 225 Suggested Manhole Diameter (mm) 1500

Control	Points	Head (m)	Flow (1/s)	Control Points	Head (m)	Flow (1/s)
Design Point	(Calculated)	1.000	18.7	Kick-Flo®	0.717	16.0
	Flush-Flo™	0.336	18.7	Mean Flow over Head Range	_	15.8

Depth (m)	Flow (1/s)								
0.100	6.7	0.800	16.8	2.000	26.0	4.000	36.3	7.000	47.6
0.200	17.9	1.000	18.7	2.200	27.2	4.500	38.5	7.500	49.2
0.300	18.7	1.200	20.4	2.400	28.4	5.000	40.5	8.000	50.8
0.400	18.6	1.400	21.9	2.600	29.5	5.500	42.4	8.500	52.3
0.500	18.3	1.600	23.4	3.000	31.6	6.000	44.2	9.000	53.8
0.600	17.7	1.800	24.7	3.500	34.1	6.500	45.9	9.500	55.2

Wardell Armstrong LLP		Page 1
Unit 5, Newton Business Park		
Newton Chambers Road		
Sheffield S35 2PH		Micro
Date 12/10/2023 16:07	Designed by csneddon	Drainage
File SS4 Detention Basin HVDC (AIS) x \dots	Checked by	pialilade
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1	

Summary of Results for 1000 year Return Period (+40%)

Storm		Max	Max	Max	Max	Status	
	Even	t	Level	Depth	Control	Volume	
			(m)	(m)	(1/s)	(m³)	
1.5		~	0 110	0 110	10.7	6050 7	0.77
		Summer			18.7		0 K
		Summer				8067.7	O K
		Summer				10178.1	O K
		Summer				11818.8	O K
180	min	Summer	0.905	0.905	18.7	12815.6	O K
240	min	Summer	0.952	0.952	18.7	13528.7	O K
360	min	Summer	1.017	1.017	18.9	14521.4	O K
480	min	Summer	1.063	1.063	19.2	15224.0	O K
600	min	Summer	1.097	1.097	19.5	15765.7	O K
720	min	Summer	1.125	1.125	19.8	16203.3	O K
960	min	Summer	1.168	1.168	20.1	16879.2	O K
1440	min	Summer	1.226	1.226	20.6	17794.4	Flood Risk
2160	min	Summer	1.276	1.276	21.0	18585.1	Flood Risk
2880	min	Summer	1.303	1.303	21.2	19025.3	Flood Risk
4320	min	Summer	1.325	1.325	21.4	19376.8	Flood Risk
5760	min	Summer	1.324	1.324	21.4	19365.5	Flood Risk
7200	min	Summer	1.314	1.314	21.3	19198.9	Flood Risk
8640	min	Summer	1.305	1.305	21.2	19045.3	Flood Risk
10080	min	Summer	1.295	1.295	21.2	18896.8	Flood Risk
15	min	Winter	0.495	0.495	18.7	6780.1	O K
30	min	Winter	0.651	0.651	18.7	9037.9	O K
60	min	Winter	0.812	0.812	18.7	11402.9	O K
120	min	Winter	0.934	0.934	18.7	13242.3	O K
180	min	Winter	1.007	1.007	18.8	14362.1	O K

Storm		Rain	Flooded	Discharge	Time-Peak	
	Even	t	(mm/hr)	Volume	Volume	(mins)
				(m³)	(m³)	
15	min	Summer	219.837	0.0	1600.8	19
30	min	Summer	146.633	0.0	1551.0	34
60	min	Summer	92.634	0.0	2957.7	64
120	min	Summer	53.959	0.0	2836.8	124
180	min	Summer	39.131	0.0	2881.2	184
240	min	Summer	31.078	0.0	2943.6	244
360	min	Summer	22.374	0.0	3017.0	364
480	min	Summer	17.697	0.0	3057.0	484
600	min	Summer	14.746	0.0	3078.7	604
720	min	Summer	12.702	0.0	3088.7	724
960	min	Summer	10.035	0.0	3086.3	964
1440	min	Summer	7.206	0.0	3031.5	1442
2160	min	Summer	5.178	0.0	6276.0	2164
2880	min	Summer	4.099	0.0	6195.4	2880
4320	min	Summer	2.954	0.0	5901.1	4320
5760	min	Summer	2.347	0.0	12258.3	5760
7200	min	Summer	1.968	0.0	12056.4	6704
8640	min	Summer	1.707	0.0	11763.4	7344
10080	min	Summer	1.515	0.0	11393.6	8064
15	min	Winter	219.837	0.0	1589.2	19
30	min	Winter	146.633	0.0	1513.8	34
60	min	Winter	92.634	0.0	2861.2	64
120	min	Winter	53.959	0.0	2939.5	124
180	min	Winter	39.131	0.0	3041.6	182

Wardell Armstrong LLP		Page 2
Unit 5, Newton Business Park		
Newton Chambers Road		
Sheffield S35 2PH		Micro
Date 12/10/2023 16:07	Designed by csneddon	Drainage
File SS4 Detention Basin HVDC (AIS) x \dots	Checked by	pianade
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1	

Summary of Results for 1000 year Return Period (+40%)

Storm		Max	Max	Max	Max	Status	
	Event		Level	Depth	Control	Volume	
			(m)	(m)	(1/s)	(m³)	
240	min	Winter	1.059	1.059	19.2	15164.8	ОК
360	min	Winter	1.131	1.131	19.8	16284.2	ОК
480	min	Winter	1.181	1.181	20.2	17079.6	O K
600	min	Winter	1.220	1.220	20.6	17695.2	Flood Risk
720	min	Winter	1.251	1.251	20.8	18194.3	Flood Risk
960	min	Winter	1.300	1.300	21.2	18970.6	Flood Risk
1440	min	Winter	1.364	1.364	21.7	20036.2	Flood Risk
2160	min	Winter	1.417	1.417	22.1	20985.7	Flood Risk
2880	min	Winter	1.448	1.448	22.3	21548.6	Flood Risk
4320	min	Winter	1.478	1.478	22.5	22087.1	Flood Risk
5760	min	Winter	1.485	1.485	22.6	22229.1	Flood Risk
7200	min	Winter	1.482	1.482	22.6	22175.2	Flood Risk
8640	min	Winter	1.473	1.473	22.5	21996.1	Flood Risk
10080	min	Winter	1.459	1.459	22.4	21745.2	Flood Risk

Storm		Rain	Flooded	Discharge	Time-Peak	
Event		(mm/hr)	Volume	Volume	(mins)	
				(m³)	(m³)	
240	min	Winter	31.078	0.0	3106.7	242
360	min	Winter	22.374	0.0	3182.9	362
480	min	Winter	17.697	0.0	3223.9	480
600	min	Winter	14.746	0.0	3245.5	598
720	min	Winter	12.702	0.0	3255.0	716
960	min	Winter	10.035	0.0	3250.1	954
1440	min	Winter	7.206	0.0	3187.1	1428
2160	min	Winter	5.178	0.0	6613.9	2136
2880	min	Winter	4.099	0.0	6517.0	2824
4320	min	Winter	2.954	0.0	6186.3	4196
5760	min	Winter	2.347	0.0	12956.0	5536
7200	min	Winter	1.968	0.0	12723.1	6848
8640	min	Winter	1.707	0.0	12400.5	8120
10080	min	Winter	1.515	0.0	12003.8	9184

Wardell Armstrong LLP		Page 3
Unit 5, Newton Business Park		
Newton Chambers Road		
Sheffield S35 2PH		Micro
Date 12/10/2023 16:07	Designed by csneddon	Drainage
File SS4 Detention Basin HVDC (AIS) x	. Checked by	Dialilade
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1	

Rainfall Model FEH Winter Storms Yes Return Period (years) 1000 Cv (Summer) 0.750 FEH Rainfall Version 2013 Cv (Winter) 0.840 Site Location GB 503065 437026 TA 03065 37026 Shortest Storm (mins) 15 Data Type Point Longest Storm (mins) 10080 Summer Storms

Time Area Diagram

Total Area (ha) 14.715

Wardell Armstrong LLP		Page 4
Unit 5, Newton Business Park		
Newton Chambers Road		
Sheffield S35 2PH		Micro
Date 12/10/2023 16:07	Designed by csneddon	Drainage
File SS4 Detention Basin HVDC (AIS) x	Checked by	Dialilade
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1	

Storage is Online Cover Level (m) 1.500

Tank or Pond Structure

Invert Level (m) 0.000

Depth (m)	Area (m²)								
0.000	13174.0	1.000	15370.0	1.300	16049.0	1.400	18079.0	1.500	18316.0

Hydro-Brake® Optimum Outflow Control

Unit Reference MD-SHE-0193-1870-1000-1870 1.000 Design Head (m) Design Flow (1/s) 18.7 Flush-Flo™ Calculated Objective Minimise upstream storage Application Surface Sump Available Yes Diameter (mm) 193 Invert Level (m) 0.000 Minimum Outlet Pipe Diameter (mm) 225 Suggested Manhole Diameter (mm) 1500

Control	Points	Head (m)	Flow (1/s)	Control Points	Head (m)	Flow (1/s)
Design Point	(Calculated)	1.000	18.7	Kick-Flo®	0.717	16.0
	Flush-Flo™	0.336	18.7	Mean Flow over Head Range	_	15.8

Depth (m)	Flow (1/s)								
0.100	6.7	0.800	16.8	2.000	26.0	4.000	36.3	7.000	47.6
0.200	17.9	1.000	18.7	2.200	27.2	4.500	38.5	7.500	49.2
0.300	18.7	1.200	20.4	2.400	28.4	5.000	40.5	8.000	50.8
0.400	18.6	1.400	21.9	2.600	29.5	5.500	42.4	8.500	52.3
0.500	18.3	1.600	23.4	3.000	31.6	6.000	44.2	9.000	53.8
0.600	17.7	1.800	24.7	3.500	34.1	6.500	45.9	9.500	55.2

RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited

RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited

Windmill Hill Business Park Whitehill Way Swindon Wiltshire, SN5 6PB